

lot lesser amount offered than the value of the service which would do away with a reasonable relationship between the service rendered and the amount given and that would cause it not to be an honorarium. What, in that case, would it be? Would that...it couldn't be a gift because a gift is given for no purpose. If it's an exchange for something, it's not a gift. So it doesn't qualify as an honorarium because the value of that which is given so greatly exceeds the amount received. So what is it? The definition has to apply and this one doesn't apply to what I'm talking about.

SENATOR WITHEM: Your point being that the relationship is a lot more paid than...

SENATOR WARNER: Time, Senator Withem. Senator Schmit, and then Senator Chambers again. Senator Schmit. Apparently he stepped out. Senator Chambers, you may...oh, did you wish to...I'm sorry, I couldn't see you, Loran.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, I would just like to make a little comment. And I'm a little bit like Senator Chambers and others, I just want to say this and then I will give my balance of my time to Senator Chambers. I believe it's important that whatever you do here, or we do here, we know exactly what we are doing. It would appear to me at least with Senator Wesely's language we knew exactly what the criteria were. If you picked up a hundred bucks or more, you had to report it. In this instance here, it leaves it in the eyes of the beholder. I guess what my question might be is this. Suppose that I give a speech to the Farm Equipment Manufacturers, an entity who have historically opposed to the sales taxes on farm equipment and also, of course, to the personal property tax law, suppose that they give me \$2,000 and suppose that they also want to get the other point of view and so they ask Senator Will to speak and they pay his mileage out there and back, now am I guilty of accepting something in perhaps an inducement to those for the bill? If Senator Will were to get the same amount of money that I were given, could it be contrived as an inducement to him? We want to be extremely careful, ladies and gentlemen, that we do not lay a trap here for anyone of us. I am in favor of full disclosure of all honorariums, inducements, expenses, whatever we receive, being reported. I don't think it's necessary to report the \$25 or \$50 or that sort of thing, but anything, of course, like Senator Wesely indicated, in excess of \$100. But let the public decide whether the \$2,000 fee I received from the